

Very good.

@ gg53'll publish a post with the process and would like to correct me as I have any questions yet.

- First picture




In the bar # 4, I began to introduce fractal [0]. Probability in length failed

- Second picture



In the bar # 5, probability of “next bar” failed.

- Third Picture




In the bar # 6, probability of “next bar” failed.

- Fourth picture



In the bar # 7, probability of “next bar” failed.

- Fiveth picture



In the bar # 8, probability of “next bar” accepted. SIGNAL.


Continue with the process.

- Sixth picture




In the bar # 2, a fractal appears in the same bar signal. We must take this into account?.
I for now I'll remember. Insert fractal [0]. Probability in length failed.

- Seventh picture



In the bar #5 and bar #6 and bar #7, probability in length accepted but probability “next bar” failed.

- Eight Picture



In the bar #8, appears new fractal. Probability said us that pattern [0 1] is more likely that [0 1 0]. Probably length in [0 1] accepted but probably “next bar” failed.


- Nine Picture



In the bar #9, probability in length is accepted an probability in “next bar” said :
100% next bar ; 100% actual bar, so SIGNAL.


Continue with the process.

- Ten picture




In the bar #2, appears new fractal. We introduce fractal [1]. Probability in length failed.

- Eleven picture



In the bar #6, probability length is accepted and probability of “next bar” (100% next bar; 100% actual bar) is accepted. SIGNAL.

- Twelve picture.



But in the next bar, i havent got any signal bearish and the price cross the level of last signal.

In last signal dont appear fractal. Is an error? Is the part that we still haven't?.

Do not know if I understood correctly the part of probability of "next bar":

To validate the signal you use:

100% next bar

100% actual bar?

Many thanks